### 2001 Current Fiscal Year Report: Panel to Review the V-22 Program

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 06:34:58 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

2001 Department of Defense

3. Committee or Subcommittee 3b. GSA Committee No.

Panel to Review the V-22 Program 10679

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current 6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

Year? Charter Date Date

01/05/2001 05/05/2001 Yes

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? **Authority** Date

Yes 05/05/2001

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Req to 10b. Legislation

**FiscalYear** Terminate? Pending?

**Terminate** 

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

13. Effective 12. Specific Establishment 14. Commitee 14c.

**Authority** Date **Presidential?** Type

> Ad hoc No

**15. Description of Committee** Other Committee

16a. Total Number of Reports

16b. Report Date Report Title

04/30/2001 Report of the Panel to Review the V-22 Program

Number of Committee Reports Listed: 1

17a. Open 2 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 2

Meetings and Dates

**Purpose** Start End - 03/09/2001 Public Hearing 03/09/2001 Panel Deliberations 04/18/2001 - 04/18/2001

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 2

	<b>Current FY Next FY</b>		
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00	
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$25,000.00	\$0.00	
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$114,224.00	\$0.00	
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$20,000.00	\$0.00	
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$10,000.00	\$0.00	
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00	
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$5,200.00	\$0.00	
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$10,000.00	\$0.00	

18c. Other(rents, user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$302,000.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$486,424.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	1.00	0.00

### 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Panel is to conduct an independent, high-level review of the V-22 program to include safety of the aircraft and recommend any proposed changes or corrective actions, and report the results to the Secretary of Defense. The panel should address the following factors, as they affect strategy and combat effectiveness: training, engineering and design, production and quality control, suitability to satisfy operational requirements, and performance and safety of flight.

### 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The panel is composed of four members, who have expertise, knowledge, and experience in matters related to the V-22.

### 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

After a series of fact finding sessions, the panel held two open meetings: one was a public hearing and the other, public deliberations.

# 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Secretary of Defense is in need of an objective panel to look at this high profile program. The panel members all have substantial expertise, knowledge, and experience necessary in matters related to the V-22 review that is unavailable from any other resource.

### 20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

### 21. Remarks

The panel issued its final report on May 30, 2001. Hearings before the Senate and House Armed Services Committees were held in early June 2001.

### **Designated Federal Officer**

Gary J. Gray

Committee
Members
Augustine,
Norman
Member Designation
Member Designation
Special Government Employee
(SGE) Member

Covert, Eugene	01/05/2001	05/05/2001 Director, MIT Center for Aerodynamic Studies and Wright Brothers Facility	the Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Dailey, John	01/05/2001	05/05/2001 Director, National Air and Space Museum	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Davis, James	01/05/2001	05/05/2001 Retired General and CEO	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Number of	Commit	tee Members Listed: 4	(COL) Member
Narrative [	Descripti	on	
What are t	he most	significant program outcomes associate	d with this committee?
			Checked if Applies
Improveme	ents to he	alth or safety	
Trust in gov	vernment		
Major policy changes			
Advance in scientific research			
Effective gr	ant makii	ng	
Improved s	ervice de	livery	
Increased of	customer	satisfaction	
Implementa	ation of la	ws or regulatory requirements	
Other			
Outcome (	Commen	ts	
What are t	he cost s	savings associated with this committee?	
			Checked if Applies
None			✓
Unable to [		9	
Under \$100			
\$100,000 -	•		
\$500,001 -			
\$1,000,001 \$5,000,001			
\$5,000,001		0,000	
Over \$10,0			
Cost Saving	gs Other		

## **Cost Savings Comments**

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?	
Number of Recommendations Comments	
What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$ of these recommendations that have been or will be $\underline{\text{Fully}}$ implemented by the agency? $0\%$	
% of Recommendations <u>Fully</u> Implemented Comments	
What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?  0%	
% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments	
Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?  Yes No Not Applicable	
Agency Feedback Comments	
What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?	
Checked if Applies	3
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation  Approved grants or other payments	
Approved grants or other payments  Other	
OUIOI	

# Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants? No Grant Review Comments How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation? Checked if Applies Contact DFO Online Agency Web Site Online Committee Web Site Online GSA FACA Web Site

**Access Comments** 

Other

**Action Comments**